<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>67</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Veronika Vrecionová</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital 16</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (16) Category 1 NGT plants and products ***should*** not be subject to the rules and requirements of the Union GMO legislation and to provisions in other Union legislation that apply to GMOs. For legal certainty for operators and transparency, a declaration of the category 1 NGT plant status should be obtained prior to deliberate release, including the placing on the market. | (16) Category 1 NGT plants and products ***must*** not be subject to the rules and requirements of the Union GMO legislation and to provisions in other Union legislation that apply to GMOs. For legal certainty for operators and transparency, a declaration of the category 1 NGT plant status should be obtained prior to deliberate release, including the placing on the market. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>68</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital 16</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (16) Category 1 NGT plants and products ***should*** not be subject to the rules and requirements of the Union GMO legislation and to provisions in other Union legislation that apply to GMOs. For legal certainty for operators and transparency, a declaration of the category 1 NGT plant status should be obtained prior to deliberate release, including the placing on the market. | (16) Category 1 NGT plants and products ***must*** not be subject to the rules and requirements of the Union GMO legislation and to provisions in other Union legislation that apply to GMOs. For legal certainty for operators and transparency, a declaration of the category 1 NGT plant status should be obtained prior to deliberate release, including the placing on the market. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Clarification

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>69</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Anne Sander</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital 16</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (16) Les végétaux et produits NTG de catégorie 1 ne ***devraient*** pas être soumis aux règles et exigences de la législation de l’Union sur les OGM ni aux dispositions d’autres textes législatifs de l’Union qui s’appliquent aux OGM. Dans un souci de sécurité juridique pour les opérateurs et de transparence, une déclaration de statut de végétal NTG de catégorie 1 devrait être obtenue avant toute dissémination volontaire, y compris aux fins de mise sur le marché. | (16) Les végétaux et produits NTG de catégorie 1 ne ***doivent*** pas être soumis aux règles et exigences de la législation de l’Union sur les OGM ni aux dispositions d’autres textes législatifs de l’Union qui s’appliquent aux OGM. Dans un souci de sécurité juridique pour les opérateurs et de transparence, une déclaration de statut de végétal NTG de catégorie 1 devrait être obtenue avant toute dissémination volontaire, y compris aux fins de mise sur le marché. |

Or. <Original>{FR}fr</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>116</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital 24</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (24) Provision should be made to ensure transparency as regards the use of category 1 NGT plant varieties, to ensure that production chains that wish to remain free from NGTs can do so and thereby safeguard consumer trust. NGT plants that have obtained a category 1 NGT plant status declaration should be ***listed in a publicly available database. To ensure traceability, transparency and choice for operators, during research and plant breeding, when selling seed to farmers or making plant reproductive material available to third parties in any other way, plant reproductive material of category 1 NGT plants should be labelled as category 1 NGT***. | (24) Provision should be made to ensure transparency as regards the use of category 1 NGT plant varieties, to ensure that production chains that wish to remain free from NGTs can do so and thereby safeguard consumer trust. NGT plants that have obtained a category 1 NGT plant status declaration ***should be indicated by a mention in the national and EU variety registers.*** |

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>120</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Veronika Vrecionová</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital 24</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (24) Provision should be made to ensure transparency as regards the use of category 1 NGT plant varieties, to ensure that production chains that wish to remain free from NGTs can do so and thereby safeguard consumer trust. NGT plants that have obtained a category 1 NGT plant status declaration should be listed in a publicly available database. To ensure traceability, transparency and choice for operators, during research and plant breeding, when selling seed to farmers or making plant reproductive material available to third parties in any other way, plant reproductive material of category 1 NGT plants should be ***labelled as category 1 NGT***. | (24) Provision should be made to ensure transparency as regards the use of category 1 NGT plant varieties, to ensure that production chains that wish to remain free from NGTs can do so and thereby safeguard consumer trust. NGT plants that have obtained a category 1 NGT plant status declaration should be listed in a publicly available database. To ensure traceability, transparency and choice for operators, during research and plant breeding, when selling seed to farmers or making plant reproductive material available to third parties in any other way, plant reproductive material of category 1 NGT plants ***should be indicated by a mention in the national and EU variety registers.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>141</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniela Rondinelli</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital 29 a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***(29 bis)*** ***Dopo il rilascio dell'autorizzazione a una pianta NGT di categoria 1 sulla base di criteri scientifici, l'autorizzazione dovrebbe essere valida per un periodo illimitato.*** |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>142</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Herbert Dorfmann</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital 29 a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***(29 bis)*** ***Dopo il rilascio dell'autorizzazione a una pianta NGT di categoria 1 sulla base di criteri scientifici, l'autorizzazione dovrebbe essere valida per un periodo illimitato.*** |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>170</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Veronika Vrecionová</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital 39</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (39) To achieve the goal of ensuring the effective functioning of the internal market***, NGT plants and related products should benefit from*** the free movement of ***goods, provided they comply with the*** requirements ***of other*** Union ***law***. | (39) To achieve the goal of ensuring the effective functioning of the internal market ***and*** the free movement of ***NGT plant products across the EU, the deliberate release of NGT plants and placing on the market of NGT products should be based on the harmonized*** requirements ***and procedures laid down in this Regulation, leading to the adoption of a decision uniformly applicable to all Member States. Member States should not unilaterally derogate from the provisions set out in this Regulation in a way that would restrict, prohibit or hinder the free movement, placing on the market and deliberate release of NGT plants or related products within the territory of the*** Union. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>171</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital 39</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (39) To achieve the goal of ensuring the effective functioning of the internal market***, NGT plants and related products should benefit from*** the free movement of ***goods, provided they comply with the*** requirements ***of other*** Union ***law.*** | (39) To achieve the goal of ensuring the effective functioning of the internal market ***and*** the free movement of ***NGT plant products across the EU, the deliberate release of NGT plants and placing on the market of NGT products should be based on the harmonized*** requirements ***and procedures laid down in this Regulation, leading to the adoption of a decision uniformly applicable to all Member States. Member States shall not unilaterally derogate from the provisions set out in this Regulation in a way that would restrict, prohibit or hinder the free movement, placing on the market and deliberate release of NGT plants or related products within the territory of the*** Union***.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>206</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero, Marcos Ros Sempere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***precise*** locations in the genome of an organism; | (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***targeted*** locations in the genome of an organism; |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>207</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***precise*** locations in the genome of an organism; | (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***targeted*** locations in the genome of an organism; |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>208</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***precise*** locations in the genome of an organism; | (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***targeted*** locations in the genome of an organism; |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Clarity and coherence

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>209</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Veronika Vrecionová</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***precise*** locations in the genome of an organism; | (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***targeted*** locations in the genome of an organism; |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Clarification to the EC text

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>210</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***precise*** locations in the genome of an organism; | (4) ‘targeted mutagenesis’ means mutagenesis techniques resulting in modification(s) of the DNA sequence at ***targeted*** locations in the genome of an organism; |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>211</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Herbert Dorfmann</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 4) "mutagenesi mirata": tecniche di mutagenesi che comportano una o più modificazioni della sequenza di DNA in loci ***precisi*** del genoma di un organismo; | 4) "mutagenesi mirata": tecniche di mutagenesi che comportano una o più modificazioni della sequenza di DNA in loci ***mirati*** del genoma di un organismo; |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>212</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniela Rondinelli</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 4) "mutagenesi mirata": tecniche di mutagenesi che comportano una o più modificazioni della sequenza di DNA in loci ***precisi*** del genoma di un organismo; | 4) "mutagenesi mirata": tecniche di mutagenesi che comportano una o più modificazioni della sequenza di DNA in loci ***mirati*** del genoma di un organismo; |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>228</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point b a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***(b a)*** ***for which it is not feasible to provide an analytical method that detects, identifies and quantifies.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

The proposal suggests that for certain Category 2 NGT plants no, or only an adapted, identification method can be developed. However, as they are regulated GMOs, these plants will not be fully identifiable or distinguishable from conventional plants, which makes it a specific challenge for imports where it is not possible to identify unauthorised NGTs with Category 2 changes. It is therefore discriminatory to require GM traceability and labelling of such products. Consequently, such Category 2 NGT plants should logically be treated as Category 1 NGT plants (conventional-like).

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>229</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero, Marcos Ros Sempere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point b a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***(b a)*** ***for which it is not feasible to provide an analytical method that detects, identifies and quantifies;*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Cat 2 plants for which no or only an adapted identification method can be developed –should logically be treated as Cat 1 NGT plants (conventional-like).

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>230</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point b a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***(b a)*** ***for which it is not feasible to provide an analytical method that detects, identifies and quantifies.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

NGT plants for which no unique identification method can be developed, should be regulated as Category 1 NGT plants, as they will be indistinguishable from conventionally-bred plants.

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>232</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Veronika Vrecionová</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7 b (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***(7 b)*** ***for which it is not feasible to provide an analytical method that detects, identifies and quantifies.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>238</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (12) ‘NGT product’ means ***a product, other than food and feed, containing or consisting of a NGT plant and*** food and feed containing, consisting of or produced from ***such a plant***; | (12) ‘NGT product’ means food and feed containing, consisting of or produced from ***NGT plants, and other products containing or consisting of such plants***; |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>239</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (12) ‘NGT product’ means ***a product, other than food and feed, containing or consisting of a NGT plant and*** food and feed containing, consisting of or produced from such a plant; | (12) ‘NGT product’ means food and feed containing, consisting of or produced from such a plant***, and other products containing or consisting of such plants***; |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>250</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point 2</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (2) the plant is a category 2 NGT plant and has been authorised in accordance with Chapter III. | (2) the plant is a category 2 NGT plant and ***has been granted consent or*** has been authorised in accordance with Chapter III. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>251</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Veronika Vrecionová</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point 2</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (2) the plant is a category 2 NGT plant and has been authorised in accordance with Chapter III. | (2) the plant is a category 2 NGT plant and ***has been granted consent or*** has been authorised in accordance with Chapter III. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>252</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero, Marcos Ros Sempere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point 2</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (2) the plant is a category 2 NGT plant and has been authorised in accordance with Chapter III. | (2) the plant is a category 2 NGT plant and ***has been granted consent or*** has been authorised in accordance with Chapter III. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Clarification to the proposal text.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>253</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point 2</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (2) the plant is a category 2 NGT plant and has been authorised in accordance with Chapter III. | (2) the plant is a category 2 NGT plant and ***has been granted consent or*** has been authorised in accordance with Chapter III. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>283</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 5 – paragraph 2 b (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***2 b.*** ***Category 1 NGT plants and category 1 NGT products (conventional-like) shall not be subject to provisions like as established in Article 24 of this Regulation or Regulation (EC) 1829/2003.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Given the fact that NGT CAT 1 are conventional-like, and in line with the current situation between conventional and organic farming, no coexistence measures are needed.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>284</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 5 – paragraph 2 b (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***2 b.*** ***Category 1 NGT plants and products from such plants are not subject to provisions established in Article 26b of Directive 2001/18/EC.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Since Category 1 NGT plants are equivalent to conventionally bred plants, no opt-out from cultivation of these products should be needed.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>285</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 5 – paragraph 2 c (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***2 c.*** ***Category 1 NGT plants and category 1 NGT products (conventional-like) are not subject to provisions established in Article 26b of Directive 2001/18/EC.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Given the fact that NGT CAT 1 are conventional-like, and in line with the current situation, no opt-out from cultivation of these products should be allowed.

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>299</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – title</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status prior to the deliberate release for any other purpose than placing on the market | Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status ***for requests submitted*** prior to the deliberate release for any other purpose than placing on the market |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>300</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – title</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status prior to the deliberate release for any other purpose than placing on the market | Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status ***for requests submitted*** prior to the deliberate release for any other purpose than placing on the market |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>301</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – title</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status prior to the deliberate release for any other purpose than placing on the market | Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status ***for requests submitted*** prior to the deliberate release for any other purpose than placing on the market |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>302</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero, Marcos Ros Sempere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – title</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status prior to the deliberate release for any other purpose than placing on the market | Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status ***for request submitted*** prior to the deliberate release for any other purpose than placing on the market |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Clarification to the proposal text.

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>312</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***comments*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. | 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***reasoned scientific objections*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. ***These objections must solely refer to the fulfilment of the criteria as set out in Annex I and must include a scientific justification.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

The verification procedure should be science based. Any intervention should be scientifically justified and based on correct application of the equivalence criteria set in Annex I, to make the verification process effective and predictable (within a reasonable timeframe) based on clear criteria and the scientific expertise of competent authorities.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>313</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***comments*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. | 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***reasoned objections*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. ***These reasoned objections must solely refer to the fulfilment of the criteria as set out in Annex I and must include a scientific justification.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

The criteria in Annex I need further clarification to allow a common understanding between national authorities and developers. The verification procedure should not allow for unjustified political consideration. Any intervention of the Commission or another Member State should be scientifically justified. Breeding companies invest up to 20% of their turnover in R&D and rely on legal certainty for their investments. The verification process should therefore be effective and predictable (within a reasonable timeframe) based on clear criteria and scientific expertise.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>314</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***comments*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. | 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***reasoned objections*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. ***These reasoned objections must solely refer to the fulfilment of the criteria as set out in Annex I and must include a scientific justification.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

The verification procedure should be science based and not allow for unjustified political considerations. Any intervention of the Commission or another member state should be scientifically justified and based on correct application of the equivalence criteria (Annex I). The verification process should therefore be effective and predictable (within a reasonable timeframe) based on clear criteria and the scientific expertise of Member States competent authorities.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>315</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***comments*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. | 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***reasoned objections*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. ***These reasoned objections must solely refer to the fulfilment of the criteria as set out in Annex I and must include a scientific justification*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>316</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***comments*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. | 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***reasoned objections*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. ***Such reasoned objections shall solely refer to the criteria as set out in Annex I and shall include a scientific justification.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>317</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Martin Hlaváček</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make comments to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. | 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***reasoned*** comments ***together with a scientific justification as regards the fulfilment of the criteria set out in Annex 1,*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>318</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Ulrike Müller, Martin Hlaváček, Elsi Katainen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make comments to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. | 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***scientifically justified*** comments to the verification report***, with regard to the compliance with criteria set out in Annex I,*** within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>319</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniela Rondinelli</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. Gli altri Stati membri e la Commissione possono formulare ***osservazioni*** in merito alla relazione di verifica entro 20 giorni dalla data di ricevimento di detta relazione. | 7. Gli altri Stati membri e la Commissione possono formulare ***obiezioni scientifiche motivate*** in merito alla relazione di verifica ***per quanto riguarda il rispetto dei criteri di cui all'allegato I,*** entro 20 giorni dalla data di ricevimento di detta relazione. |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>320</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Herbert Dorfmann</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. Gli altri Stati membri e la Commissione possono formulare ***osservazioni*** in merito alla relazione di verifica entro 20 giorni dalla data di ricevimento di detta relazione. | 7. Gli altri Stati membri e la Commissione possono formulare ***obiezioni motivate*** in merito alla relazione di verifica ***per quanto riguarda il rispetto dei criteri di cui all'allegato I,*** entro 20 giorni dalla data di ricevimento di detta relazione. |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>322</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Tom Vandenkendelaere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***comments*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. | 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***reasoned objections*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

A ‘comment’ is too light to trigger a procedure at the level of the European Commission. Only reasoned objections should qualify for this.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>323</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Elena Lizzi, Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Rosanna Conte, Gilles Lebreton</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 7</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***comments*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. | 7. The other Member States and the Commission may make ***reasoned scientific opinion*** to the verification report within 20 days from the date of receipt of that report. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>328</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 8</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 8. In the absence of any ***comments*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. | 8. In the absence of any ***reasoned scientific objections*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Coherence

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>329</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniela Rondinelli</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 8</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 8. In assenza di ***osservazioni*** da parte di uno Stato membro o della Commissione, entro 10 giorni lavorativi dalla scadenza del termine di cui al paragrafo 7, l'autorità competente che ha redatto la relazione di verifica adotta una decisione nella quale dichiara se la pianta NGT è una pianta NGT di categoria 1. Detta autorità trasmette senza indebito ritardo la decisione al richiedente, agli altri Stati membri e alla Commissione. | 8. In assenza di ***obienzioni scientifiche motivate*** da parte di uno Stato membro o della Commissione, entro 10 giorni lavorativi dalla scadenza del termine di cui al paragrafo 7, l'autorità competente che ha redatto la relazione di verifica adotta una decisione nella quale dichiara se la pianta NGT è una pianta NGT di categoria 1. Detta autorità trasmette senza indebito ritardo la decisione al richiedente, agli altri Stati membri e alla Commissione. |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>330</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Asger Christensen</Members>

<AuNomDe>{Renew}on behalf of the Renew Group</AuNomDe>

<Members>Emma Wiesner, Elsi Katainen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Irène Tolleret, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 8</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 8. In the absence of any ***comments*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision ***without undue delay*** to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. | 8. In the absence of any ***reasonable objections*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision ***within 10 working days*** to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>331</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero, Marcos Ros Sempere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 8</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 8. In the absence of any ***comments*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. | 8. In the absence of any ***reasoned objections*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>332</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 8</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 8. In the absence of any ***comments*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. | 8. In the absence of any ***reasoned objections*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>333</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Tom Vandenkendelaere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 8</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 8. In the absence of any ***comments*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. | 8. In the absence of any ***reasoned objections*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>334</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 8</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 8. In the absence of any ***comments*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. | 8. In the absence of any ***reasoned objections*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>335</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 8</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 8. In the absence of any ***comments*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. | 8. In the absence of any ***reasoned objection*** from a Member State or the Commission, within 10 working days from the expiry of the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall adopt a decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant. It shall transmit the decision without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>337</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Herbert Dorfmann</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 8</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 8. In assenza di ***osservazioni*** da parte di uno Stato membro o della Commissione, entro 10 giorni lavorativi dalla scadenza del termine di cui al paragrafo 7, l'autorità competente che ha redatto la relazione di verifica adotta una decisione nella quale dichiara se la pianta NGT è una pianta NGT di categoria 1. Detta autorità trasmette senza indebito ritardo la decisione al richiedente, agli altri Stati membri e alla Commissione. | 8. In assenza di ***obiezioni motivate*** da parte di uno Stato membro o della Commissione, entro 10 giorni lavorativi dalla scadenza del termine di cui al paragrafo 7, l'autorità competente che ha redatto la relazione di verifica adotta una decisione nella quale dichiara se la pianta NGT è una pianta NGT di categoria 1. Detta autorità trasmette senza indebito ritardo la decisione al richiedente, agli altri Stati membri e alla Commissione. |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>340</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniela Rondinelli</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. Qualora un altro Stato membro o la Commissione formuli ***osservazioni*** entro il termine di cui al paragrafo 7, l'autorità competente che ha redatto la relazione di verifica trasmette le ***osservazioni*** alla Commissione senza indebito ritardo. | 9. Qualora un altro Stato membro o la Commissione formuli ***obiezioni scientifiche motivate*** entro il termine di cui al paragrafo 7, l'autorità competente che ha redatto la relazione di verifica trasmette le ***obiezioni e relative giustificazioni agli Stati membri e*** alla Commissione senza indebito ritardo. |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>341</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero, Marcos Ros Sempere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. In cases where ***a comment is*** made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the the ***comment(s)*** to the Commission without undue delay. | 9. In cases where ***reasoned objections are*** made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the the ***reasoned(s) objection (s) to the other Member Sates and*** to the Commission without undue delay. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>342</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. In cases where a ***comment*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the ***the comment***(s) to the Commission without undue delay. | 9. In cases where a ***reasoned objection*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the ***reasoned objection***(s) to the ***requester, other Member States and to*** Commission without undue delay. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>343</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Herbert Dorfmann</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. Qualora un altro Stato membro o la Commissione formuli ***osservazioni*** entro il termine di cui al paragrafo 7, l'autorità competente che ha redatto la relazione di verifica trasmette le ***osservazioni*** alla Commissione senza indebito ritardo. | 9. Qualora un altro Stato membro o la Commissione formuli ***obiezioni motivate*** entro il termine di cui al paragrafo 7, l'autorità competente che ha redatto la relazione di verifica trasmette le ***obiezioni e relative giustificazioni agli Stati membri e*** alla Commissione senza indebito ritardo. |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>344</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. In cases where a ***comment*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the the ***comment***(s) to the Commission without undue delay. | 9. In cases where a ***reasoned objection*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the the ***reasoned objection***(s) to ***the other Member States and*** the Commission without undue delay. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>345</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Elena Lizzi, Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Rosanna Conte, Gilles Lebreton</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. In cases where a ***comment*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall ***forward the the comment(s)*** to the ***Commission*** without undue delay. | 9. In cases where a ***reasoned objection*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall ***upon request make the reasoned objections available*** to the ***other Member States*** without undue delay. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>346</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Asger Christensen</Members>

<AuNomDe>{Renew}on behalf of the Renew Group</AuNomDe>

<Members>Emma Wiesner, Elsi Katainen, Atidzhe Alieva-Veli, Irène Tolleret, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. In cases where a ***comment*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the ***the comment***(s) to the Commission ***without undue delay***. | 9. In cases where a ***reasonable objection*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the ***reasonable objection***(s) to the Commission ***within 10 working days***. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>347</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. In cases where a ***comment*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the the ***comment***(s) to the Commission without undue delay. | 9. In cases where a ***reasoned scientific objection*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the the ***objection***(s) to the Commission without undue delay. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Coherence

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>348</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. In cases where a ***comment*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the the ***comment***(s) to the Commission without undue delay. | 9. In cases where a ***reasoned objection*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the the ***reasoned objection***(s) to the Commission without undue delay. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>349</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Tom Vandenkendelaere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 9</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 9. In cases where a ***comment*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the ***the comment***(s) to the Commission without undue delay. | 9. In cases where a ***reasoned objection*** is made by another Member State or by the Commission by the deadline referred to in paragraph 7, the competent authority that prepared the verification report shall forward the ***reasoned objection***(s) to the Commission without undue delay. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>352</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Veronika Vrecionová</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 10. The ***Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’),*** shall ***prepare a draft*** decision ***declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant*** within ***45*** working days from the date of receipt of the ***comment(s), taking the latter into account.*** The decision ***shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2)***. | 10. The ***competent authoriy*** shall ***adopt its*** decision ***based on the EFSA´s opinion*** within ***20*** working days from the date of receipt of the ***EFSA´s opinion. The competent authority shall transmit*** the decision ***without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission***. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>353</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Martin Hlaváček</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 10. The ***Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety*** Authority ***(‘the Authority’),*** shall ***prepare a draft*** decision ***declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant*** within ***45*** working days from the date of receipt of the ***comment(s), taking the latter into account.*** The decision ***shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2)***. | 10. The ***competent*** authority shall ***adopt its*** decision ***based on the EFSA´s opinion*** within ***20*** working days from the date of receipt of the ***EFSA´s opinion. The competent authority shall transmit*** the decision ***without undue delay to the requester, the other Member States and to the Commission***. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>358</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***comment***(s), taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). | 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***reasoned objection***(s), taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>359</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***comment***(s), taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). | 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***reasoned objection***(s), taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>360</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Tom Vandenkendelaere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***comment***(s), taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). | 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***reasoned objection***(s), taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>361</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***comment***(s), taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). | 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***reasoned objection***(s), taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>362</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Elena Lizzi, Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Rosanna Conte, Gilles Lebreton</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 6 – paragraph 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***comment(s)***, taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). | 10. The Commission, after having consulted the European Food Safety Authority (‘the Authority’), shall prepare a draft decision declaring whether the NGT plant is a category 1 NGT plant within 45 working days from the date of receipt of the ***reasoned objections***, taking the latter into account. The decision shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 28(2). |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>371</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 7 – title</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status prior to the placing on the market of NGT products | Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status ***for requests submitted*** prior to the placing on the market of NGT products |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>372</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 7 – title</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status prior to the placing on the market of NGT products | Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status ***for requests submitted*** prior to the placing on the market of NGT products |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>373</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero, Marcos Ros Sempere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 7 – title</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status prior to the placing on the market of NGT products | Verification procedure of category 1 NGT plant status ***for request submitted*** prior to the placing on the market of NGT products |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>378</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 7 a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***Article7a*** |
|  | ***Free movement of category 1 NGT plants and category 1 NGT products*** |
|  | ***Member States shall not prohibit, restrict or impede the deliberate release or the placing on the European single market of category 1 NGT plants and products produced from or by such plants.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

It is essential for the functioning of the internal market and the free movement of NGT plants across the EU, that the deliberate release of NGT plants and placing on the market of NGT products are based on harmonised requirements and procedures laid down in this Regulation, leading to a decision uniformly applicable to all Member States. It is important that Member States do not unilaterally derogate from those provisions in a way that would restrict, prohibit or hinder the free movement, placing on the market and deliberate release of NGT plants or related products within the EU territory.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>379</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 7 a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***Article7a*** |
|  | ***Free movement*** |
|  | ***Member States shall not prohibit or restrict the deliberate release or placing on the market of type 1 NGT plants and related products referred to in Article 3 through requirements that are specific to type 1 NGT plants or related products.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>380</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Tom Vandenkendelaere</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 7 a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***Article 7a*** |
|  | ***A plant that is the result of a conventional cross between two verified category 1 NGT plants and in which the introduced modifications are maintained is not considered a new NGT plant and automatically maintains category 1 NGT status.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

It is very useful to clarify the category 1 NGT status of plants that are the result of a conventional cross between two verified category 1 NGT plants.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>381</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Herbert Dorfmann</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 7 a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***Article 7bis*** |
|  | ***Libera circolazione*** |
|  | ***Gli Stati membri non vietano o limitano l'emissione deliberata o l'immissione sul mercato di piante NGT di categoria 1 e prodotti NGT di categoria 1.*** |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>382</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniela Rondinelli</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 7 a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
|  | ***Article 7bis*** |
|  | ***Libera circolazione*** |
|  | ***Gli Stati membri non vietano o limitano l'emissione deliberata o l'immissione sul mercato di piante NGT di categoria 1 e prodotti NGT di categoria 1.*** |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>392</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Anne Sander</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Article 10*** | ***supprimé*** |
| ***Étiquetage du matériel de reproduction des végétaux NTG de catégorie 1, y compris du matériel de sélection*** |  |
| ***Le matériel de reproduction des végétaux, y compris destiné à des fins de sélection et à des fins scientifiques, qui consiste en un ou plusieurs végétaux NTG de catégorie 1 ou en contient et qui est mis à la disposition de tiers, à titre onéreux ou gratuit, est muni d’une étiquette portant la mention «NTG cat 1», suivie du numéro d’identification du ou des végétaux NTG dont il est dérivé.*** |  |

Or. <Original>{FR}fr</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>393</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Anja Hazekamp</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Article 10*** | ***deleted*** |
| ***Labelling of category 1 NGT plant reproductive material, including breeding material*** |  |
| ***Plant reproductive material, including for breeding and scientific purposes, that contains or consists of category 1 NGT plant(s) and is made available to third parties, whether in return for payment or free of charge, shall bear a label indicating the words ‘cat 1 NGT’, followed by the identification number of the NGT plant(s) it has been derived from.*** |  |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>394</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Benoît Biteau</Members>

<AuNomDe>{Verts/ALE}on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group</AuNomDe>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Article 10*** | ***deleted*** |
| ***Labelling of category 1 NGT plant reproductive material, including breeding material*** |  |
| ***Plant reproductive material, including for breeding and scientific purposes, that contains or consists of category 1 NGT plant(s) and is made available to third parties, whether in return for payment or free of charge, shall bear a label indicating the words ‘cat 1 NGT’, followed by the identification number of the NGT plant(s) it has been derived from.*** |  |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

NGT plants, by definition, could not occur naturally. The process of creating a NGT plant leaves specific alterations that can always be identified through a whole-genome PCR analysis, even if the trait obtained can be similar to one that could occur in nature, and have similar DNA on the targeted site. There is no link between the fact that the trait developed could also occur in nature and the absence of risks. Additionally, there is no history of safe use for NGTs, which was the focus point of 2018 ECJ ruling. NGTs should have obligations that are proportional to their risks, which can only be determined by a case-by-case impact assessment (as stated in the Court of Justice’s judgment in Case C-528/16 regarding the status of novel genomic techniques under Union law). The proposed categorisation of NGT has no link to actual risk factors as they are usually considered by risk assessors. This is why we propose to delete the specific rules dedicated to category 1 NGTs.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>395</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Article 10*** | ***deleted*** |
| ***Labelling of category 1 NGT plant reproductive material, including breeding material*** |  |
| ***Plant reproductive material, including for breeding and scientific purposes, that contains or consists of category 1 NGT plant(s) and is made available to third parties, whether in return for payment or free of charge, shall bear a label indicating the words ‘cat 1 NGT’, followed by the identification number of the NGT plant(s) it has been derived from.*** |  |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Labelling, of for example seed bags, for verified conventional-like NGT plants is discriminatory. This extra requirement is creating an unjustified distinction and administrative burden. Information about the use of NGTs should be made publicly available (through public databases), however, the additional labelling provisions and the prohibition for organic production creates a third category of plant products in between conventional and GMOs. This is not in line with approaches already taken in other countries and will create trade issues.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>396</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Article 10*** | ***deleted*** |
| ***Labelling of category 1 NGT plant reproductive material, including breeding material*** |  |
| ***Plant reproductive material, including for breeding and scientific purposes, that contains or consists of category 1 NGT plant(s) and is made available to third parties, whether in return for payment or free of charge, shall bear a label indicating the words ‘cat 1 NGT’, followed by the identification number of the NGT plant(s) it has been derived from.*** |  |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>397</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Peter Jahr, Lena Düpont, Christine Schneider, Marlene Mortler</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Article 10*** | ***entfällt*** |
| ***Kennzeichnung von NGT-Pflanzenvermehrungsmaterial der Kategorie 1, einschließlich Zuchtmaterial*** |  |
| ***Pflanzenvermehrungsmaterial, auch für Züchtungs- und wissenschaftliche Zwecke, das NGT-Pflanzen der Kategorie 1 enthält oder aus solchen besteht und entgeltlich oder unentgeltlich Dritten zur Verfügung gestellt wird, trägt ein Etikett mit der Angabe „Kat. 1 NGT“, gefolgt von der Kennnummer der NGT-Pflanzen, aus denen es gewonnen wurde.*** |  |

Or. <Original>{DE}de</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Die Kennzeichnung von Saatgutsäcken für konventionell-ähnliche NGTPflanzen ist diskriminierend. Sie sollten konventionell behandelt werden; Transparenz und Wahlmöglichkeiten für den Verbraucher können in vollem Umfang gewährleistet werden, wenn Informationen über die Verwendung von NGTs öffentlich zugänglich gemacht werden. Die zusätzlichen Bestimmungen zur Kennzeichnung von Saatgutsäcken schaffen jedoch eine dritte Kategorie von pflanzlichen Erzeugnissen. Dies steht nicht im Einklang mit den Konzepten anderer Länder und wird zu Handelsproblemen führen.

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>401</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Asger Christensen</Members>

<AuNomDe>{Renew}on behalf of the Renew Group</AuNomDe>

<Members>Emma Wiesner, Elsi Katainen, Irène Tolleret, Erik Poulsen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10 – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Plant reproductive material, including for breeding and scientific purposes, that contains or consists of category 1 NGT plant(s) and is made available to third parties, whether in return for payment or free of charge, shall bear a label indicating the words ‘cat 1 NGT’, followed by the identification number of the NGT plant(s) it has been derived from.*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Information related to the use of NGTs in breeding Category 1 NGT plants is already foreseen in the Common Catalogue and a public registry. Physically labelling the seed bags does not provide any additional value to farmers or consumers, and results in additional costs and administrative burden.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>402</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10 – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Plant reproductive material, including for breeding and scientific purposes, that contains or consists of category 1 NGT plant(s) and is made available to third parties, whether in return for payment or free of charge, shall bear a label indicating the words ‘cat 1 NGT’, followed by the identification number of the NGT plant(s) it has been derived from.*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

If conventional-like NGT plants are to be treated conventionally, this requirement creates unjustified administrative burden. Transparency and consumer choice is ensured by making information about the use of NGTs publicly available in databases.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>403</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10 – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Plant reproductive material, including for breeding and scientific purposes, that contains or consists of category 1 NGT plant(s) and is made available to third parties, whether in return for payment or free of charge, shall bear a label indicating the words ‘cat 1 NGT’, followed by the identification number of the NGT plant(s) it has been derived from.*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Cat 1 NGT plants should be treated conventionally, this extra requirement is creating unjustified distinctions and administrative burden. Furthermore, the additional seed bag labelling provisions would create a third category of plant products between conventional and GMOs.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>404</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniel Buda, Dan-Ştefan Motreanu</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 10 – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***Plant reproductive material, including for breeding and scientific purposes, that contains or consists of category 1 NGT plant(s) and is made available to third parties, whether in return for payment or free of charge, shall bear a label indicating the words ‘cat 1 NGT’, followed by the identification number of the NGT plant(s) it has been derived from.*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Category 1 NGT plants are considered equivalent to conventional plants and this extra requirement is discriminatory, creating unjustified distinctions and administrative burden. Transparency can be ensured by making the database public. Furthermore, creating labelling requirements for a third category of plant reproductive material, between conventional and GMOs, would have a negative impact on trade.

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>478</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 24 – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Member States shall take appropriate measures to avoid the unintended presence of category 2 NGT plants in products not subject to Directive 2001/18 or Regulation 1829/2003. | Member States shall take appropriate measures to avoid the unintended presence of category 2 NGT plants in products not subject to Directive 2001/18 or Regulation 1829/2003. ***These provisions shall not apply to category 1 NGT plants and products produced from or by such plants.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Given the fact that NGT Cat 1 are conventional-like, no coexistence measures are needed.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>479</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Article 24 – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Member States shall take appropriate measures to avoid the unintended presence of category 2 NGT plants in products not subject to Directive 2001/18 or Regulation 1829/2003. | Member States shall take appropriate measures to avoid the unintended presence of category 2 NGT plants in products not subject to Directive 2001/18 or Regulation 1829/2003. ***These provisions shall not apply to category 1 NGT plants and category 1 NGT products.*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

Given the fact that Category 1 NGT are conventional-like, and in line with the current situation between conventional and organic farming, no coexistence measures are needed.

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>497</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Clara Aguilera, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it ***differs from the recipient/parental plant by no more than 20*** genetic ***modifications of the types referred to in points 1 to 5, in any DNA sequence sharing sequence similarity with the targeted site that can be predicted by bioinformatic tools***. | A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it ***does not include foreing*** genetic ***material from outside the breeder´s gene pool***. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>498</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it ***differs from the recipient/parental plant by no more than 20*** genetic ***modifications of the types referred to in points 1 to 5, in any DNA sequence sharing sequence similarity with the targeted site that can be predicted by bioinformatic tools***. | A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it ***does not include foreign*** genetic ***material from outside the breeder’s gene pool***. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

The Commission study highlighted that “there are implementation and enforcement challenges, in particular related to the detection and differentiation of NGT products that do not contain any foreign genetic material.” This is a problem for enforcement authorities, operators and applicants. The current criteria are complex and only partly solve this problem. Logically, Annex I should focus on those criteria that solve the enforcement and detection and identification problems as identified by the Commission study for NGT products that do not contain any foreign genetic material.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>499</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it ***differs from the recipient/parental plant by no more than 20*** genetic ***modifications of the types referred to in points 1 to 5, in any DNA sequence sharing sequence similarity with the targeted site that can be predicted by bioinformatic tools***. | A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it ***does not include foreign*** genetic ***material from outside the breeders' gene pool***. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>500</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it ***differs from the recipient/parental plant by no more than 20*** genetic ***modifications of the types referred to in points 1 to 5, in any DNA sequence sharing sequence similarity with the targeted site that can be predicted by bioinformatic tools***. | A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it ***does not include foreign*** genetic ***material from outside the breeders’ gene pool***. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

The Commission study highlighted that “there are implementation and enforcement challenges, in particular related to the detection and differentiation of NGT products that do not contain any foreign genetic material.” This is a problem for enforcement authorities, operators and applicants. The current criteria are complex and only partly solve this problem. Logically, Annex I should focus on those criteria that solve the enforcement and detection and identification problems as identified by the Commission study for NGT products that do not contain any foreign genetic material.

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>505</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Asger Christensen</Members>

<AuNomDe>{Renew}on behalf of the Renew Group</AuNomDe>

<Members>Emma Wiesner, Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it differs from the recipient/parental plant by no more than ***20*** genetic modifications of the types referred to in points 1 to 5, in any DNA sequence sharing sequence similarity with the targeted site that can be predicted by bioinformatic tools. | A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it differs from the recipient/parental plant by no more than ***30*** genetic modifications ***per haploid genome*** of the types referred to in points 1 to 5, in any DNA sequence sharing sequence similarity with the targeted site that can be predicted by bioinformatic tools. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

As described in recital 14a, plants have a different number of haploid genomes, so in order not to discriminate the limit should apply per haploid genomes. Furthermore, the limitation should be raised from 20 to 30 as 20 unnecessarily limits the possibilities of its use. We want to ensure that we fully benefit from the potential of NGTs including improving the environmental footprint of agriculture and increase food safety.

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>506</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Anne Sander</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| Un végétal NTG est considéré comme équivalent à un végétal conventionnel lorsqu’il diffère du végétal récepteur/parental d’un maximum de 20 modifications génétiques des types visés aux points 1 à 5, dans toute séquence d’ADN partageant une similarité de séquence avec le site ciblé qui peut être prédite au moyen d’outils bio-informatiques. | Un végétal NTG est considéré comme équivalent à un végétal conventionnel lorsqu’il diffère du végétal récepteur/parental d’un maximum de 20 modifications génétiques des types visés aux points 1 à 5 ***par haploïde***, dans toute séquence d’ADN partageant une similarité de séquence avec le site ciblé qui peut être prédite au moyen d’outils bio-informatiques. |

Or. <Original>{FR}fr</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>507</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Herbert Dorfmann</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it differs from the recipient/parental plant by no more than 20 genetic modifications of the types referred to in points 1 to 5, in any DNA sequence sharing sequence similarity with the targeted site that can be predicted by bioinformatic tools. | A NGT plant is considered equivalent to conventional plants when it differs from the recipient/parental plant by no more than 20 genetic modifications of the types referred to in points 1 to 5 ***per haploid***, in any DNA sequence sharing sequence similarity with the targeted site that can be predicted by bioinformatic tools. |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>517</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Elena Lizzi, Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Rosanna Conte, Gilles Lebreton</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (1) substitution or insertion of no more than 20 nucleotides; | (1) ***targeted*** substitution or insertion of no more than 20 nucleotides; |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>518</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniela Rondinelli</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (1) sostituzione o inserimento di non più di 20 nucleotidi; | (1) sostituzione o inserimento ***mirati*** di non più di 20 nucleotidi; |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

</Amend></Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>522</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Elena Lizzi, Paola Ghidoni, Angelo Ciocca, Rosanna Conte, Gilles Lebreton</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 2</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (2) deletion of any number of nucleotides; | (2) ***targeted*** deletion of any number of nucleotides; |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>523</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Daniela Rondinelli</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 2</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| (2) soppressione di un numero qualsiasi di nucleotidi; | (2) soppressione ***mirata*** di un numero qualsiasi di nucleotidi; |

Or. <Original>{IT}it</Original>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>546</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***(4)*** ***targeted inversion of a sequence of any number of nucleotides;*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>547</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***(4)*** ***targeted inversion of a sequence of any number of nucleotides;*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>548</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***(4)*** ***targeted inversion of a sequence of any number of nucleotides;*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>549</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Veronika Vrecionová</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 4</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***(4)*** ***targeted inversion of a sequence of any number of nucleotides;*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>550</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Veronika Vrecionová</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 5</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***(5)*** ***any other targeted modification of any size, on the condition that the resulting DNA sequences already occur (possibly with modifications as accepted under points (1) and/or (2)) in a species from the breeders’ gene pool.*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

<TitreJust>Justification</TitreJust>

The point (5) was merged with point (3) for simplification. The wording/meaning of the point (5) is already covered in points (1) – (3).

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>551</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Martin Hlaváček</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 5</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***(5)*** ***any other targeted modification of any size, on the condition that the resulting DNA sequences already occur (possibly with modifications as accepted under points (1) and/or (2)) in a species from the breeders’ gene pool.*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>552</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Annie Schreijer-Pierik</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 5</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***(5)*** ***any other targeted modification of any size, on the condition that the resulting DNA sequences already occur (possibly with modifications as accepted under points (1) and/or (2)) in a species from the breeders’ gene pool.*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>553</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Juozas Olekas, Carmen Avram, Paolo De Castro</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 5</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***(5)*** ***any other targeted modification of any size, on the condition that the resulting DNA sequences already occur (possibly with modifications as accepted under points (1) and/or (2)) in a species from the breeders’ gene pool.*** | ***deleted*** |

Or. <Original>{EN}en</Original>

</Amend>

<Amend>Amendment <NumAm>554</NumAm>

<RepeatBlock-By><Members>Bert-Jan Ruissen</Members>

</RepeatBlock-By>

<DocAmend>Proposal for a regulation</DocAmend>

<Article>Annex I – point 5</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Text proposed by the Commission | Amendment |
| ***(5)*** ***any other targeted modification of any size, on the condition that the resulting DNA sequences already occur (possibly with modifications as accepted under points (1) and/or (2)) in a species from the breeders’ gene pool.*** | ***deleted*** |